α-Aminoxy Peptoids: A Unique Peptoid Backbone with a Preference for cis-Amide Bonds


Abstract: α-Peptoids, or N-substituted glycine oligomers, are an important class of peptidomimetic foldamers with proteolytic stability. Nevertheless, the presence of cis/trans-amide bond conformers, which contribute to the high flexibility of α-peptoids, is considered as a major drawback. A modified peptoid backbone with an improved control of the amide bond geometry could therefore help to overcome this limitation. Herein, we have performed the first thorough analysis of the folding propensities of α-aminoxy peptoids (or N-substituted 2-aminoxyacetic acid oligomers). To this end, the amide bond geometry and the conformational properties of a series of model α-aminoxy peptoids were investigated by using 1D and 2D NMR experiments, X-ray crystallography, natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy, and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations revealing a unique preference for cis-amide bonds even in the absence of cis-directing side chains. The conformational analysis based on the MD simulations revealed that α-aminoxy peptoids can adopt helical conformations that can mimic the spatial arrangement of peptide side chains in a canonical α-helix. Given their ease of synthesis and conformational properties, α-aminoxy peptoids represent a new member of the peptoid family capable of controlling the amide isomerism while maintaining the potential for side-chain diversity.

Introduction

α-Peptoids, or oligomers of N-substituted glycine, have several advantages over peptides as potential bioactive compounds including proteolytic stability and increased cell permeability.[1, 2] Peptoid libraries have been utilized as protein binding agents and as inhibitors of protein–protein interactions,[3–6] although primary screening hits identified from peptoid libraries have usually not displayed high activity or potency.[7] The major limitation of peptoids is the lack of conformational constraints due to the absence of internal hydrogen bonding, which may reduce their binding affinity to proteins. In contrast to peptides, a high degree of cis-amide bonds is observed in α-peptoids.[8] The cis- and trans-amide conformations are almost isoenergetic for N-alkyl α-peptoid monomers, and studies have shown that the nature of the side chain can significantly modulate the ratio of cis-trans-amide bond conformers.[9] Nevertheless, α-peptoids can form stable, helical secondary structures when the cis/trans-amide isomerism is optimally controlled.

Similarly, it has been hypothesized that β-peptoids (N-alkyl β-alanines) can exhibit foldamer properties.[10–14] A recent study by Olsen and co-workers provided a high-resolution X-ray crystal structure of a β-peptoid hexamer containing strongly cis-in-ducing N-(5)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl side chains. The crystal structure disclosed an all-cis-amide bond geometry and a right-handed helical conformation with exactly three residues per turn and a helical pitch of 9.6–9.8Å between the turns.[15] However, it is important to note that the amide bond geometry in β-peptoids is also highly dependent on the nature of the side chain.[16]

Thus far, the control of the folding properties of α- and β-peptoids is mainly achieved by incorporation of specific cis- or trans-directing side chains. This focus on a small number of side chains comes at the expense of diversity.[7, 17] Accordingly, it is worthwhile to address this limitation and to aim at the design of peptoid backbones with an improved control of the cis/trans-amide isomerism. In 2002, Shin and Park reported the preparation of α-aminoxy peptoid pentamers, but the folding properties were not investigated.[18] The backbone-controlled secondary structures of α-aminoxy peptides[19, 20] prompted us...
to analyze the conformational properties of α-amino peptoids (or oxa-analogues of β-peptoids) in detail. In this work, we report the results of our study revealing a unique cis-amide bond preference of α-amino peptoids in comparison to other peptoid backbones.

Results and Discussion

We first designed and synthesized a series of model α-amino peptoids utilizing an N-terminal acetyl and a C-terminal piperidinyl cap group to investigate the influence of several side chains on the amide conformation (see the Supporting Information for synthetic details). To be consistent with the peptoid nomenclature, we use the terms “cis” and “trans” to describe the amide dihedral angle omega referring to the relative position of the backbone atoms (see Figure 1). As a consequence, the cis conformer features an E-configured hydroxamate moiety, whereas the trans conformer corresponds to a Z-configured hydroxamate. The definition of all other relevant dihedral angles is outlined in Figure 2. The α-amino peptoids 1 and 3–5 were designed to investigate the cis/trans isomerism in the absence of strongly cis- or trans-directing side chains. Compound 2 was included due to its strongly trans-amide bond-inducing phenyl side chain.[21] The α-amino peptoid 6 served as a cis-promoting model compound due to the cis-directing triazolium side chain.[13] The model peptoid 7 containing a tert-butyl ester was incorporated to analyze an alternative C-terminal cap group. The α-amino peptoids 8–10 were synthesized as model compounds for oligomeric α-amino peptoids to study the conformational preference in oligomers (see the Supporting Information for synthetic details).

We next studied the conformational homogeneity of our model systems by using standard 1D NMR techniques at room temperature. 1H NMR spectra of the peptoid monomers 1–6 were recorded in CDCl₃ at room temperature and revealed only a single set of NMR signals. However, some signals appeared broad. We therefore collected the 1H spectra of the monomers 1–6 in [D₆]acetone, [D₆]MeOH, D₂O, and [D₆]DMSO observing again some relatively broad signals in the case of the peptoids 1–5 (see the Supporting Information). These results prompted us to study the 1H NMR spectra in more detail. We performed variable temperature (VT) NMR experiments on the α-amino peptoid 3 in CDCl₃. Cooling caused the signals of compound 3 to split into two sets of sharp signals at −20 and −30 °C in ratios of 87:13 and 86:14, respectively (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). In order to exclude that the second species arises from the piperidinyl group, we repeated the VT NMR experiment with the model α-amino peptoid 7 containing a tert-butyl ester as the C-terminal cap. Compound 7 followed the same trend as observed for the α-amino peptoid 3 (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). To study whether the additional NMR signals arise from aggregation, we recorded the 1H NMR spectra of compound 3 at −30 °C with varying concentrations (0.15–150 mM, Figure S3 in the Supporting Information), which showed no concentration dependency of the peak ratio. Interestingly, a VT NMR experiment with the deacetylated analogue of the α-amino peptoid 3 (i.e., 2-((benzylamino)oxy)-1-(piperidin-1-yl)ethan-1-one) did not show any additional conformational peaks (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). Thus, we reasoned the presence of two sets of NMR signals might indicate the coexistence of two well-defined species that equilibrate slowly on the NMR time scale, for example, cis/trans-amide bond rotamers. This hypothesis was supported by detection of EXSY signals in a 2D NOESY experiment on compound 3 in CDCl₃ at −30 °C (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information).[22] This behavior is typical for protons under significant chemical exchange on the saturation time scale and thus implies the existence of rotamers.[23] Subsequent 1H NMR experiments with compounds 1, 2, and 4–6 at −30 °C provided further evidence for the existence of cis/trans-amide bond rotamers. In the case of compounds 1, 4, and 5 we observed a similar behavior as for compound 3 with ratios[24] of 84:16 (compound 1), 84:16 (compound 4), and 82:18 (compound 5). Notably, the 1H NMR spectrum of the peptoid 2 (containing a trans-directing side chain) revealed an increased amount of the minor conformer at −30 °C in CDCl₃ (ratio of 65:35), whereas the spectrum of the α-amino peptoid 6 (containing a cis-directing side chain) showed well-defined peaks with no evidence for a significant population of another conformer. To study the conformational...
stability of longer peptoid sequences, the α-aminoxy peptoid oligomers 8–10 were synthesized (see the Supporting Information). The 1H NMR spectra conducted in CDCl3, [D6]acetonitrile, and [D6]MeOH showed well-defined signals (see the Supporting Information for details). The 1H NMR spectra measured at −30°C in CDCl3 revealed one major conformer in all cases. However, in the α-aminoxy dipeptoid 8 a second conformational isomer was observed (ratio of 86:14). In the case of the α-aminoxy tripeptoid 9 and the α-aminoxy tetrapeptoid 10 only traces of side peaks were observed.

Because several model monomers and oligomers revealed the presence of two conformers, we performed comprehensive NMR experiments on the α-aminoxy peptoid 3 in CDCl3 to determine the Arrhenius activation energy for the rotational barrier. For this purpose, the interconversion rate constants at the respective coalescence temperatures were measured by VT NMR experiments by using different NMR instruments. A plot of the temperature dependence of the interconversion rate constants afforded the Arrhenius activation energy (Ea = (42 ± 2) kJ mol−1, see the Supporting Information for details).

In order to investigate whether α-aminoxy peptoid monomers prefer cis- or trans-configured amide bonds, we decided to study the amide bond geometry of our model compounds in detail. Based on our observed side-chain dependency we assumed a cis preference in the case of our model α-aminoxy peptoids. To confirm a preferred cis-amide bond configuration in solution, the torsion angle ω was analyzed by 2D NMR spectroscopy. Compound 6 was chosen for recording a 2D NOESY NMR spectrum due to the absence of any additional conformational peaks. We observed a strong cross peak between the N-terminal acetyl group and the backbone methylene protons as well as a weak NOE between the acetyl and the side chain methylene protons (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). We reasoned that a cis-configured amide (ω ≈ 0°) would fulfill these distance requirements, whereas a trans-configured amide (ω ≈ 180°) should show a stronger NOE between the acetyl and the side chain methylene protons. A 2D NOESY spectrum of the α-aminoxy peptoid 3 at −30°C revealed a strong cross peak between the N-terminal acetyl group and the backbone methylene protons for the major conformer, which indicates a cis-amide bond geometry (Figure S7 in the Supporting Information). Notably, our results are in good agreement with data reported from Grel and co-workers who showed that simple alkoxyamides prefer cis-amide bonds in the absence of hydrogen bonds.[25] The above-mentioned data suggest that the α-aminoxy peptoid monomers 1 and 3–6 possess a strong preference for cis-configured amide bonds. In particular, it is worth noting that the model compounds 1 and 3–5 are showing a significant preference for cis-amide bonds (> 80%) even in the absence of any cis-directing side chains. In contrast, α-peptoids bearing identical cap groups and side chains revealed inhomogeneous mixtures of cis/trans rotamers or even a preference for trans-amide bonds (Figure S8 in the Supporting Information).

Fortunately, we were able to obtain X-ray quality crystals of the α-aminoxy peptoid monomer 1 and the tripeptoid 9 by slow evaporation from a CDCl3 solution. The X-ray structure analysis of the α-aminoxy peptoid 1 proved a cis-amide configuration in the solid state. Although the N-methylacetamide group in the α-aminoxy peptoid 1 was disordered in the crystal structure, it is important to note that the amide is cis-configured in both the A and B component (Figure 3). The X-ray structure of the α-aminoxy tripeptoid 9 revealed an all-cis-amide geometry (Figure 3). The observed torsion angles are summarized in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. Interestingly, the N-terminal (δ) and the internal (i+1) monomer disclosed almost identical backbone torsion angles, whereas the C-terminal monomer (i+2) showed different torsion angles. This phenomenon can be explained by packing effects in the solid state (see the Supporting Information for a detailed discussion). The X-ray structure shows the trimer adopting a zigzag conformation in which the i and the i+2 side chains are oriented on the same side of the backbone, whereas the i+1 benzyl group points in the opposite direction.

On the first view, the cis preference of α-aminoxy peptoids is somewhat surprising keeping in mind that α-aminoxy peptides preferentially adopt trans-amide bonds.[26] However, we and others showed that the secondary structure in α-aminoxy peptides is primarily stabilized by eight-membered ring hydrogen bonds between the C=O and the N–H+R moieties, which are not possible in the case of α-aminoxy peptoids.[19,20,26] Thus, in order to further investigate the cis-amide preference in α-aminoxy peptoids, we performed a natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis on all-methyl model α-aminoxy peptoids in cis- and trans-amide configurations (Figures 4A and B) as well as the cis-amide α-aminoxy tripeptoid 9 (Figure 4C).

For the model α-aminoxy peptoid in a cis-amide configuration, the NBO analysis revealed C1C6−H1−O=C13 donor−acceptor interactions, which results in an eight-membered δ turn according to the classification by Toniole and Benedetti[27] (Figure 4A); the second-order perturbation theory analysis in the NBO basis revealed a mean donor−acceptor stabilization energy of 1.56 kcal mol−1 (Figures 4A and 59A in the Supporting Information). The C10 hydrogen atom involved in the Cα-
Interaction is more electron deficient than the geminal, non-involved hydrogen atom (0.2458 au in $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} = \text{C}$ vs. 0.2281 au in $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{H}$; Figure S9 A in the Supporting Information), indicating that electrons are delocalized through the $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} = \text{C}$ interaction. The stabilization energy computed herein is close to the absolute value of the lower limit of the electron-association energy $D_e$ of 2.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ computed for $\text{N}_\text{a} - \text{N}_\text{a}$-dimethylformamide dimers associated through $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} = \text{C}$ hydrogen bonds.\[28\] The $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O}$ distance of 3.1 Å coincides with expectations of distances of weak hydrogen bonds.\[29\]

As to the trans configuration, no $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} = \text{C}$ interaction was revealed by the NBO analysis but rather a $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{H} = \text{C}_\text{a} + 1$ interaction within the same residue (Figure 4B); the latter interaction (stabilization energy: $\approx 1.00$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) is weaker than the $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{H} = \text{C}_\text{a} + 1$ one (Figures 4A and B as well as S9A and B in the Supporting Information).

In agreement with results from the all-methyl model peptoid in the cis configuration, the NBO analysis also revealed the eight-membered $\delta$ turn in the cis-amide $\alpha$-aminoxy tripeptoid 9 (Figure 4C). Herein, the donor–acceptor stabilization energy is stronger than in the model peptoid (1.85 kcal mol$^{-1}$ in compound 9 vs. 1.56 kcal mol$^{-1}$ in the model peptoid; Figures 4A and C as well as S9A and C in the Supporting Information). This might be explained by the electron-pushing effect of the benzyl side chains, leading to the amide oxygen atom being more negatively charged ($-0.7269$ au for compound 9 vs. $-0.6980$ au for the model peptoid; Figures S9A and C in the Supporting Information).

Taken together, by means of NBO calculations, we found stabilizing donor–acceptor interactions for both the cis and trans configurations. The formation of an eight-membered $\delta$ turn between the residues $i \rightarrow i+1$ in the cis configuration is accompanied by a favorable stabilization energy for $\text{C}_\text{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{H} = \text{C}_\text{a} + 1$ interactions, which could explain the cis-amide bond preference for $\alpha$-aminoxy peptoids. Note that, to the best of our knowledge, no unambiguous report has become available for the occurrence of a $\delta$ turn in a linear peptide.\[30\]

To gain further insight into the potential folding propensities of oligomers we decided to synthesize oligomers featuring $\alpha$-chiral side chains (Scheme 1). Circular dichroism (CD) spec-

![Figure 4](image-url)

Figure 4. Results from the natural bond orbital analysis. A,B) HF/6-31G*-optimized structures from an all-methyl model compound in an A) cis and B) trans configuration. C) HF/6-31G*-optimized structure of the $\alpha$-aminoxy tripeptoid 9 in a cis configuration. In A–C the orange dotted lines depict donor–acceptor interactions. The labels depict the donor–acceptor stabilization energies (in [kcal mol$^{-1}$]) averaged over the two respective oxygen lone pairs, determined by the second-order perturbation theory analysis.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the $\alpha$-aminoxy peptoids 19–21 containing $\alpha$-chiral aromatic side chains ($\text{Ns} = 2$-nitrobenzenesulfonyl, $t\text{Bu} = \text{tert-butyl}$, DIAD = diisopropyl azodicarboxylate, TFA = trifluoroacetic acid, DIC = diisopropylcarbodiimide, Ac = acetyl).
troscopy has been extensively used to study the secondary structure of \( \alpha \)-peptoids. Figure 5 shows the CD spectra of the \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptoids 19–21 in acetonitrile. The CD spectra of compounds 19–21 reveal a characteristic minimum around \( \lambda = 220 \) nm. Somewhat surprisingly, the intensity of the minimum near \( \lambda = 220 \) nm decreased with an increasing chain length of the \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptoids. This phenomenon might indicate that this signal is not caused by secondary structure formation but is related to the \( N-(S)-1 \)-phenylethyl-containing amide motif itself.\(^{[21,22]}\) To verify this hypothesis we synthesized an acetylated monomer containing the \( N-(S)-1 \)-phenylethyl group (see the Supporting Information). The CD spectrum of this \( \alpha \)-chiral monomer disclosed a strong minimum at around \( \lambda = 220 \) nm (Figure S10 in the Supporting Information). Based on this result it appears unlikely that the minimum at \( \lambda = 220 \) nm is related to secondary structure formation as simple monomers would not be expected to adopt an ordered conformation. On the other hand, starting at the length of a tetramer a shoulder appeared at \( \lambda = 209 \) nm. It could be speculated that this minimum at \( \lambda = 209 \) nm might be indicative of a length-dependent secondary structure formation. Because characteristic CD signals do not necessarily need to be indicative of a folding event,\(^{[21]}\) further studies on longer oligomers will be required to investigate the nature of the minimum near \( \lambda = 209 \) nm in more detail. However, to study the thermostability of the observed CD spectral bands, we collected CD spectra of the hexamer 21 at temperatures in the temperature range 20–70 °C. As can be seen in Figure 5B, the hexamer 21 shows only a small reduction in the ellipticity and no change in the shape until 70 °C, denoting the thermal stability of the CD spectral bands.

It is not possible to identify the secondary structure of novel peptidomimetics in solution by solely analyzing CD spectra. We therefore designed and synthesized the tetramer 28 and the hexamer 29 in order to perform additional conformational studies. Based on the X-ray analysis of the tripeptoid 9, we speculated that \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptides can adopt a conformation in which the \( i \), \( i+2 \), and \( i+4 \) side chains are located on one side of the peptoid face, whereas the \( i+1 \), \( i+3 \), and \( i+5 \) side chains are located on the opposite side. Thus, we designed the hexamer 29 containing \( \alpha \)-chiral, aromatic side chains at the \( i+1 \), \( i+3 \), and \( i+5 \) positions to create an "aromatic face", which is known to stabilize peptoid helices.\(^{[32]}\) Three structurally diverse side chains were chosen for the \( i \), \( i+2 \), and \( i+4 \) in order to minimize overlapping NMR signals. The tetramer 28 represents a simplified and truncated analogue of compound 29. The synthesis of the oligomers 28 and 29 is outlined in Scheme 2 (see the Supporting Information for synthetic details). Briefly, we prepared a set of dimeric building blocks (Scheme 2A), which were subsequently assembled to the desired oligomers by amide coupling reactions followed by deprotection and acetylation of the N-terminal aminoxy moiety (Schemes 2B and C). We conducted 2D NOESY experiments on compounds 28 and 29 to gain further insights into the amide bond geometry and the secondary structure of oligomeric \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptoids. When analyzing the tetramer 28 we observed a strong cross peak between the N-terminal acetyl group and the backbone methylene group of the N-terminal monomer (a) as well as a strong cross peak between the backbone protons of the monomers \( i+2 \) and \( i+3 \) indicating a cis-amide geometry for the C- and N-terminal amide bonds (Figure S12 in the Supporting Information). Unfortunately, no inter-residual NOESY cross peaks could be detected. This issue was further investigated by a proton inversion-recovery experiment (Table S2 in the Supporting Information), revealing significant differences in the longitudinal relaxation times of backbone and side-chain protons, which can affect cross relaxation. Notably, a VT NMR experiment on compound 28 revealed no indications for the presence of cis/trans-amide bond rotamers (Figure S13 in the Supporting Information). The CD spectra of compounds 28 and 29 in acetonitrile (Figure S14 in the Supporting Information) look very similar when compared to the spectra of compounds 19–21 (Figure 5).

Because our CD and NMR data are insufficient to verify the presence of distinct secondary structures, we performed comprehensive computational studies to investigate the conformational preferences of \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptoids and their potential as helix mimetics. The backbone torsion angles \( \psi \), \( \theta \), \( \omega \), and \( \psi' \) (Figure 2) are critical for an accurate description of the conformational preferences. We parameterized \( \psi \) and \( \theta \) for molecular dynamics (MD) simulations at the molecular mechanics level based on ab-initio calculations (Figures 2 and S15 in the Supporting Information). Fitting of the molecular mechanics energy against the ab-initio energy for the angles \( \psi \) and \( \theta \) provided very good correlations [\( R^2 > 0.95 \) (Figure S15 in the Supporting Information)]. For the backbone torsion angles \( \omega \) and \( \psi' \) (Figure 2), molecular mechanics energies using the parameters of the GAFF force field (see the Supporting Information) already yielded a very good agreement with the ab-initio energies [\( R^2 = 0.99 \) (Figure S16 in the Supporting Information)]. The energy minima identified for the torsion potentials of the angles \( \psi \), \( \theta \), \( \omega \), and \( \psi' \) showed good agreement with the torsion angles found in the crystal structure of the \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptoids 1 and 9 (Figures S15 and S16 as well as Table S3 in the Supporting Information). Hence, the torsion angle parameters accurately reproduce the relative energies of the backbone dihedral angles of \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptoids.
Subsequently, we performed MD simulations of the α-aminoxy peptoids 1 (3.5 μs length), 2 and 3 (3 μs length each), and 9 (3.5 μs length) in explicit chloroform. Choosing this solvent allows for a direct comparison of the simulation results with the NMR data (see above). The MD simulations reached equilibrium with respect to conformational preferences of the angles \( \phi, \theta, \) and \( \psi \), as indicated by highly symmetric torsion angle distributions (Figures S17, S18, and S19 in the Supporting Information) and frequent transitions between energetically preferred states (Figures S20, S21, and S22 in the Supporting Information). In contrast, for the α-aminoxy peptoids 1, 2, or 3 started either with a cis- or trans-amide conformation, a transition in the \( \omega \) torsion angle between the two conformers was not observed, which is in line with an energetic barrier separating the two conformers (Figure S16 in the Supporting Information). For the \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptoids 1, 2, or 3 observed in the MD simulations showed a very good to good agreement with the torsion angle values found in the crystal structures of the α-aminoxy peptoids 1 and 9 (Figures S17, S18, and S19 in the Supporting Information). The relative free energies of the \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptid 1 as a function of the backbone dihedral angles \( \psi, \theta, \) and \( \psi \) computed from the frequency of occurrence of the conformations during the MD simulations allowed us to identify the preferred backbone conformations of the \( \alpha \)-aminoxy peptid 1 (Figure S24 in the Supporting Information); given the almost identical torsion angle distributions (Figures S17, S18, and S19 in the Supporting Information), simi-

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the tetramer 28 and the hexamer 29 (DEAD = diethyl azodicarboxylate, HOAt = 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole).
lar conformations are expected to be favorable also for the other α-aminoxy peptoids. The lack of mirror symmetry in the ϕ/ψ and ϕ/γ projections of the relative free energy is compatible with the observation that the amide nitrogen atom shows a certain degree of pyramidalization, which is in agreement with findings of Jordan and co-workers, leading to a transient chirality.[33] Superimposing the low-energy conformations of the α-aminoxy peptoids 1, 2, and 3 extracted from the respective MD simulations onto the crystal structure of the α-aminoxy peptoid 1 results in backbone-atom root mean square deviations (RMSD) \( \leq 0.6 \) Å (Figure 6A–C). Similarly, overlaying a low-energy conformation of the α-aminoxy peptoid 9 onto the crystal structure of the same compound results in a backbone-atom RMSD value of 1.2 Å (Figure 6D). These results show that the MD simulations yield low-energy conformations of α-aminoxy peptoids that are in very good agreement with the experimentally determined structures.
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Figure 6. A–C) Overlay of the low-energy conformations of the α-aminoxy peptoids 1, 2, and 3 obtained from MD simulations (green) onto the crystal structure of the α-aminoxy peptoid 1 (pink), resulting in RMSD values of the backbone atoms of 0.57, 0.60, and 0.59 Å for compounds 1, 2, and 3, respectively. In the lower panel, the overlays are rotated by 90°. D) Overlay of a low-energy conformation of the α-aminoxy peptoid 9 obtained from MD simulations (green) onto the crystal structure of the α-aminoxy peptoid 9 (magenta), resulting in an RMSD value of the backbone atoms of 1.2 Å. In the right panel, the overlay is rotated by 90°.

We used the thus validated setup of MD simulations to investigate the conformational properties of the more complex tetrameric (compound 28) and hexameric (compound 29) α-aminoxy peptoids. MD simulations of compounds 28 (3.5 μs length) and 29 (2 μs length) in explicit chloroform again yielded distributions of backbone torsion angles that are in very good agreement with the values of the crystal structures of the α-aminoxy peptoids 1 and 9, and are similar to those obtained with the α-aminoxy peptoids 1, 2, and 3 (data not shown). The conformational ensembles obtained by the MD simulations of the α-aminoxy peptoids 28 and 29 were clustered according to the RMSD values. The four most populated clusters that account for 71% (for the α-aminoxy peptoid 28) and 64% (for the α-aminoxy peptoid 29) of the MD ensembles were further analyzed. Overlaying the Cβ atoms of the α-aminoxy peptoid 28 onto the Cβ atoms of a canonical α-helix shows that the α-aminoxy peptoid scaffold can closely mimic the spatial arrangement of the peptide side chains at the positions i, i+1, i+3, and i+5. When the N terminus of the peptoid is oriented toward the C terminus of the helix, the RMSD value of the coordinates of the respective atom pairs is 0.5 Å (Figure 7A). When the peptoid is oriented in the opposite direction, the RMSD value is 0.7 Å (Figure 7B). Overlaying the Cβ atoms of the peptoid 29 with the Cβ atoms of a canonical α-helix shows that the α-aminoxy peptoid scaffold can also address an i, i+2, i+3, i+4, i+7, i+8, i+9, i+11 amino acid pattern when the N terminus of the peptoid is oriented toward the C terminus of the helix (Figure 7C), and alternatively an i, i+4, i+6, i+8, i+9, i+11 pattern when the peptoid is oriented in the opposite direction (Figure 7D). The RMSD value of the coordinates of the respective atom pairs is 1.1 Å in both cases. In summary, the conformational analysis based on the MD simulations reveals that α-aminoxy peptoids adopt conformations that can mimic i, i+1, i+3, i+5; i, i+2, i+3, i+5, i+7, i+11; and i, i+4, i+6, i+8, i+9, i+11 patterns of substituent orientations with respect to an α-helix.

**Conclusion**

We have performed the first thorough analysis of the folding propensities of α-aminoxy peptoids. To this end, we designed and synthesized a series of model α-aminoxy peptoids utilizing well-established cap groups to investigate the influence of several side chains on the amide conformation and secondary structure. Interestingly, for α-aminoxy peptoids we observed a unique preference for cis-amide bonds in comparison to other peptoid backbones. The formation of an eight-membered δ turn that is stabilized by Cα–H–O–Cα+1 interactions between the residues i→i+7 in the cis configuration might explain the cis-amide preference of α-aminoxy peptoids. MD simulations suggest that α-aminoxy oligopeptoids can fold into a distinct secondary structure closely mimicking the spatial arrangement of peptide side chains in a canonical α-helix. It is worth noting that α-aminoxy peptoid monomers can be easily prepared from the readily available starting material 11 either by classical alkylation with alkyl halides or by a Mitsunobu reaction. This efficient monomer synthesis may therefore allow for the preparation of α-aminoxy peptoid libraries with a large chemical diversity in the future. Given their ease of synthesis, their structural diversity, and their conformational properties, α-aminoxy peptoids represent a promising new class of peptidomimetic foldamers that may be highly useful in a variety of novel applications such as material sciences and medicinal chemistry. In conclusion, α-aminoxy peptoids were introduced as a new peptoid backbone capable of controlling the amide
isomerism while maintaining the potential for side-chain diversity.

**Experimental Section**

See the Supporting Information for experimental details. CCDC 1508156 (1) and 1508157 (9) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
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[24] All ratios were calculated by integrating and averaging at least three H NMR signals at 15 mM concentration.
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**Figure 7.** Overlay of the C\textsuperscript{i+1} atoms of low-energy conformations of the \(\alpha\)-aminox peptoids 28 (A and B) and 29 (C and D) onto the C\textsuperscript{i} atoms of a canonical \(\alpha\)-helix (indicated by the pink spheres). In the case of the \(\alpha\)-aminox peptoid 28, the scaffold can closely mimic the spatial arrangement of the peptide side chains at the positions i, i+1, i+3, i+5, with an RMSD value of the coordinates of the respective atom pairs of 0.5 Å when the N terminus of the \(\alpha\)-aminox peptoid is oriented toward the C terminus of the helix, and of 0.7 Å when the \(\alpha\)-aminox peptoid is oriented in the opposite direction (A and B, respectively). In the case of the \(\alpha\)-aminox peptoid 29, the scaffold can closely mimic the spatial arrangement of peptide side chains at the positions i, i+2, i+3, i+5, i+7, i+11 when the N terminus of the \(\alpha\)-aminox peptoid is oriented toward the C terminus of the helix (C), and the positions i, i+4, i+6, i+8, i+9, i+11 when the \(\alpha\)-aminox peptoid is oriented in the opposite direction (D), with an RMSD value of 1.1 Å in both cases.
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